

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Rothwell
Given Name	Richard
Person ID	1286460
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Rothwell
Given Name	Richard
Person ID	1286460
Title	Our Vision
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Family Name	Rothwell
Given Name	Richard
Person ID	1286460
Title	Our Strategic Objectives
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Family Name	Rothwell
Given Name	Richard
Person ID	1286460
Title	JPA 19: Bamford / Norden
Type	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>The original development plan had around 750 homes in the plan and then reduced to 450 homes in a bid to try and say we have reduced the amount to win favour. The amount of homes should be zero (0) because of the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Not all brownfield sites have been listed for development 2. National policy defines that Greenbelt areas are to be avoided on protected Greenbelt 3. The development area does not comply with PfE Objectives 7 and 8 and is not inline with sustainable development and NPPF Chapter 13 4. There is no plan to build a school to meet demand for the area. Current schools are full or very near to capacity already which would force children to travel out of the area. 5. I have seen housing developments in Australia that also build supporting infrastructure such as new schools, medical centres and shops. The first and second are not in the plans. Bamford precinct can get full with parking already so would not cope with more vehicles. 6. Norden Road would not cope with more cars, especially with more housing. Peak times see queuing already with vehicles aiming for Heywood and Rochdale to join the M62. 7. Flooding can and does occur with run off rain water coming down from farming fields which would flood any new homes in vulnerable areas around Jowkin Lane. 8. I do not believe there is demand for higher value housing. Wages have not increased to a level to would make the average person be able to afford these houses.